#### A dual of Juhász' question

# Oberwolfach conference on Set Theory 10-Jan-2022

Assaf Rinot, Bar-Ilan University

I'll be reporting on joint works with my graduate students at BIU:[RS20] A.R. and Roy Shalev, A guessing principle from a Souslin tree, with applications to topology, accepted to Topology Appl.[GR22] Shira Greenstein and A.R., in preparation.

# Motivation

- 1. A Dowker space is a normal topological space whose product with the unit interval is not normal. Whether such a space exists was asked by C. H. Dowker in a paper from 1951.
- The first consistent example was soon given by Rudin in 1955, who constructed a Dowker space of size ℵ<sub>1</sub>, assuming the existence of a Souslin tree.
- The existence of a Souslin tree was shown to be consistent only at the late 1960's: Tennenbaum using finite forcing, Jech using countable forcing, and Jensen (first, assuming V = L, and then) using ◊.

# Motivation (cont.)

By now, there are a few constructions of Dowker spaces in ZFC: A space of size  $(\aleph_{\omega})^{\aleph_0}$  (Rudin, 1972), of size continuum (Balogh, 1996), and of size  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  (Kojman and Shelah, 1998).

The following problem is still standing:

Question

Is there a Dowker space of size  $\aleph_1$ ?

The list of known sufficient conditions include CH (Juhász, Kunen and Rudin, 1976), & (de Caux, 1977), a Luzin set (Todorčević, 1989), and a certain tailored instance of a strong club-guessing principle (Hernńdez-Hernńdez and Szeptycki, 2009).

Fact

- Jensen: ◊ implies the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Devlin:  $\diamondsuit$  is equivalent to CH +  $\clubsuit$ ;
- Jensen: CH does not imply the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Juhász: Does & imply the existence of a Souslin tree?

### Fact

- Jensen: ♦ implies the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Devlin:  $\diamondsuit$  is equivalent to CH +  $\clubsuit$ ;
- Jensen: CH does not imply the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Juhász: Does & imply the existence of a Souslin tree?

Juhász' question remains open for 35 years now. Here, we propose to look at its dual:

### Question

Does the existence of a Souslin tree imply \$?

### Fact

- Jensen: ◊ implies the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Devlin:  $\diamondsuit$  is equivalent to CH +  $\clubsuit$ ;
- Jensen: CH does not imply the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Juhász: Does 🜲 imply the existence of a Souslin tree?

Juhász' question remains open for 35 years now. Here, we propose to look at its dual:

### Question

Does the existence of a Souslin tree imply \$?

#### Answer

No. Just add one Cohen real to any model of CH  $+ \neg \diamondsuit$  (such as Jensen's model from above).

### Fact

- Jensen: ♦ implies the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Devlin:  $\diamond$  is equivalent to CH +  $\clubsuit$ ;
- Jensen: CH does not imply the existence of a Souslin tree;
- Juhász: Does **&** imply the existence of a Souslin tree?

Juhász' question remains open for 35 years now. Here, we propose to look at its dual:

### Question

Does the existence of a Souslin tree imply  $\clubsuit$ ?

### Corrected question

Does the existence of a Souslin tree imply a weak form of  $\clubsuit$ , strong enough to entail the existence of a Dowker space of size  $\aleph_1$ ?

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Definition (Jensen, 1972)

 $\Diamond(S)$  asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that: 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a subset of  $\alpha$ ;

2. For every  $B \subseteq \kappa$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $A_{\alpha} = B \cap \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Definition (Jensen, 1972)

 $\Diamond(S)$  asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that: 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a subset of  $\alpha$ ;

2. For every  $B \subseteq \kappa$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $A_{\alpha} = B \cap \alpha$ .

### Definition (Ostaszewski, 1976)

- **♣**(S) asserts the existence of a sequence  $(A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S)$  such that: 1. A<sub>α</sub> is a cofinal subset of α;
  - 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq B \cap \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### A trivial weakening

- **♣**<sub>−</sub>(*S*) asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that: 1. *A*<sub>α</sub> is a cofinal subset of *α*;
  - 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ .

### Definition (Ostaszewski, 1976)

- **♣**(*S*) asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that: 1. *A*<sub>α</sub> is a cofinal subset of *α*;
  - 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq B \cap \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### A trivial weakening

- ♣\_(S) asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that: 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
  - 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ .

# Definition ([RS20])

- 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ ;
- 3. For all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  from *S*,  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha'}) < \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Theorem ([RS20])

- ▶ PFA refutes  $A_{AD}(S)$  for any stationary  $S \subseteq \omega_1$ ;
- ▶ If  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then  $\clubsuit_{AD}(S)$  fails for every S such that  $\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa) \subseteq S \subseteq \kappa$ . So  $\Diamond(\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa)) \implies \clubsuit_{AD}(\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa))$ .

# Definition ([RS20])

- 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ ;
- 3. For all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  from *S*,  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha'}) < \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Theorem ([RS20])

▶ PFA refutes  $A_{AD}(S)$  for any stationary  $S \subseteq \omega_1$ ;

▶ If  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, then  $\clubsuit_{AD}(S)$  fails for every S such that  $\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa) \subseteq S \subseteq \kappa$ . So  $\Diamond(\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa)) \implies \clubsuit_{AD}(\operatorname{Reg}(\kappa))$ .

Compare with the fact that  $\Diamond(E_{\lambda}^{\kappa}) \implies \clubsuit(E_{\lambda}^{\kappa}) \implies \clubsuit_{AD}(E_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$ .

# Definition ([RS20])

- 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ ;
- 3. For all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  from *S*,  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha'}) < \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Strengthening in a different axis

 $A_{AD}(S, \mu)$  asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  s.t.: 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of  $\mu$  many cofinal subsets of  $\alpha$ ;

- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A \cap B) = \alpha$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ ;
- 3. For all  $A \neq A'$  from  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sup(A \cap A') < \sup(A)$ .

# Definition ([RS20])

- 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ ;
- **3**. For all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  from *S*,  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha'}) < \alpha$ .

Let S denote a stationary set of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

### Strengthening in a different axis

**♣**<sub>AD</sub>(*S*, *μ*, *θ*) asserts the existence of a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$  s.t.: 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of *μ* many cofinal subsets of *α*;

- 2. For every  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\kappa}$  of size  $\theta$ , there are stat. many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A \cap B) = \alpha$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- 3. For all  $A \neq A'$  from  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sup(A \cap A') < \sup(A)$ .

# Definition ([RS20])

- 1.  $A_{\alpha}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $B \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , there are stationarily many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap B) = \alpha$ ;
- 3. For all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  from *S*,  $\sup(A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha'}) < \alpha$ .

# In full generality

Let S denote a nonempty <u>collection</u> of stationary subsets of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

Definition ([RS20])

 $A_{AD}(S, \mu, \theta)$  asserts there is a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \bigcup S \rangle$  s.t.:

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of  $\mu$  many cofinal subsets of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\kappa}$  of size  $\theta$  and every  $S \in S$ , there are stat. many  $\alpha \in S$  with sup $(A \cap B) = \alpha$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- 3. For all  $A \neq A'$  from  $\bigcup_{S \in S} \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sup(A \cap A') < \sup(A)$ .

# Back to the Dowker space problem

# Theorem ([RS20])

For a regular uncountable  $\kappa$ , assume any of the following:

- 1.  $A_{AD}(S, 1, 2)$  holds for some infinite partition S of a nonreflecting stationary subset of  $\kappa$ ;
- 2.  $A_{AD}(\{E_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\}, \lambda, 1)$  holds, where  $\kappa = \lambda^{+}$ ,  $\lambda$  is regular.

Then there exists a Dowker space of size  $\kappa$ .

Recall that a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree is a poset  $\mathcal{T} = (T, <)$  such that:

$$\blacktriangleright |T| = \kappa;$$

- $\mathcal{T}$  has no chains or antichains of size  $\kappa$ ;
- ▶ For every  $x \in T$ ,  $x_{\downarrow} := \{y \in T \mid y < x\}$  is well-ordered by <, and we write  $ht(x) := otp(x_{\downarrow}, <)$ .

Recall that a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree is a poset  $\mathcal{T} = (T, <)$  such that:

$$|T| = \kappa;$$

- $\mathcal{T}$  has no chains or antichains of size  $\kappa$ ;
- ▶ For every  $x \in T$ ,  $x_{\downarrow} := \{y \in T \mid y < x\}$  is well-ordered by <, and we write  $ht(x) := otp(x_{\downarrow}, <)$ .

### Definition

- $B \subseteq T$  is an  $\alpha$ -branch iff it is a chain and  $\{ht(x) \mid x \in B\} = \alpha$ .
- An  $\alpha$ -branch is vanishing iff it has no upper bound in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### Definition

- $B \subseteq T$  is an  $\alpha$ -branch iff it is a chain and  $\{ht(x) \mid x \in B\} = \alpha$ .
- An  $\alpha$ -branch is vanishing iff it has no upper bound in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### Definition (The vanishing levels of the tree)

 $V(\mathcal{T})$  stands for the set of  $\alpha \in \operatorname{acc}(\kappa)$  such that, for every  $x \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $\operatorname{ht}(x) < \alpha$ , there exists a vanishing  $\alpha$ -branch containing x.

The vanishing levels is an invariant of  $\kappa$ -Souslin trees:  $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{T}' \implies V(\mathcal{T}) = V(\mathcal{T}'). \quad \mathcal{T} \cong_{cub} \mathcal{T}' \implies V(\mathcal{T}) \equiv_{cub} V(\mathcal{T}').$ 

### Definition

- $B \subseteq T$  is an  $\alpha$ -branch iff it is a chain and  $\{ht(x) \mid x \in B\} = \alpha$ .
- An  $\alpha$ -branch is vanishing iff it has no upper bound in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### Definition (The vanishing levels of the tree)

 $V(\mathcal{T})$  stands for the set of  $\alpha \in \operatorname{acc}(\kappa)$  such that, for every  $x \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $\operatorname{ht}(x) < \alpha$ , there exists a vanishing  $\alpha$ -branch containing x.

The vanishing levels is an invariant of  $\kappa$ -Souslin trees:  $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{T}' \implies V(\mathcal{T}) = V(\mathcal{T}'). \quad \mathcal{T} \cong_{cub} \mathcal{T}' \implies V(\mathcal{T}) \equiv_{cub} V(\mathcal{T}').$  $\blacktriangleright$  If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\lambda$ -complete  $\lambda^+$ -Souslin tree, then  $V(\mathcal{T}) = E_{\lambda}^{\lambda^+}$ ;

• If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a uniformly coherent  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree,  $V(\mathcal{T}) \equiv_{cub} E_{\omega}^{\kappa}$ .

### Definition

- $B \subseteq T$  is an  $\alpha$ -branch iff it is a chain and  $\{ht(x) \mid x \in B\} = \alpha$ .
- An  $\alpha$ -branch is vanishing iff it has no upper bound in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### Definition (The vanishing levels of the tree)

 $V(\mathcal{T})$  stands for the set of  $\alpha \in \operatorname{acc}(\kappa)$  such that, for every  $x \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $\operatorname{ht}(x) < \alpha$ , there exists a vanishing  $\alpha$ -branch containing x.

Main Theorem ([RS20])

Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree for a regular uncountable  $\kappa$ . Then  $A_{AD}(S)$  holds for some  $S \equiv_{cub} V(\mathcal{T})$ .

### Main Theorem ([RS20])

Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree for a regular uncountable  $\kappa$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}_{AD}(S, \mu, <\theta)$  holds, provided that  $\mu < \kappa = \kappa^{<\theta}$ , and S is any partition of  $V(\mathcal{T}) \cap E_{>\theta}^{\kappa}$  (modulo a club) into stationary sets.

#### Recall

 $A_{AD}(S, \mu, <\theta)$  asserts there is a sequence  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \bigcup S \rangle$ :

- 1.  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of  $\mu$  many cofinal subsets of  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. For every  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\kappa}$  of size  $\langle \theta \rangle$  and every  $S \in S$ , there are stat. many  $\alpha \in S$  with  $\sup(A \cap B) = \alpha$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- **3.** For all  $A \neq A'$  from  $\bigcup_{S \in S} \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sup(A \cap A') < \sup(A)$ .

### Main Theorem ([RS20])

Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree for a regular uncountable  $\kappa$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}_{AD}(S, \mu, <\theta)$  holds, provided that  $\mu < \kappa = \kappa^{<\theta}$ , and S is any partition of  $V(\mathcal{T}) \cap E_{\geq \theta}^{\kappa}$  (modulo a club) into stationary sets.

Whenever  $V(\mathcal{T})$  has uniform cofinality, we can waive the club, e.g.: Corollary ([RS20])

If there exists a Souslin tree, then for every partition S of  $acc(\omega_1)$  into stationary sets,  $AD(S, \omega, <\omega)$  holds.

The Dowker space we construct from  $A_{AD}(\{acc(\omega_1)\}, \omega, 1\})$  is an hereditary separable refinement of the order topology on  $\omega_1$ , hence, we altogether obtained a new proof of Rudin's 1974 result that a Souslin tree yields an *S*-space which is Dowker.

### A problem arises

In 1999, answering a question of Kunen, Shelah gave a forcing construction over a strongly inaccessible Mahlo cardinal  $\kappa$  of a full  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . 'Full' means that for every  $\alpha \in \operatorname{acc}(\kappa)$ , the set of vanishing  $\alpha$ -branches through  $\mathcal{T}$  is no more than a singleton! In particular,  $V(\mathcal{T}) \equiv_{cub} \emptyset$ .

### Theorem (unpublished)

Suppose there is a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree for a regular uncountable  $\kappa$ . Then  $A_{AD}(S, 1, 1)$  holds for some  $\kappa$ -sized collection S of pairwise disjoint stationary subsets of  $\kappa$ .

### A problem arises

In 1999, answering a question of Kunen, Shelah gave a forcing construction over a strongly inaccessible Mahlo cardinal  $\kappa$  of a full  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . 'Full' means that for every  $\alpha \in \operatorname{acc}(\kappa)$ , the set of vanishing  $\alpha$ -branches through  $\mathcal{T}$  is no more than a singleton! In particular,  $V(\mathcal{T}) \equiv_{cub} \emptyset$ .

A full  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree is a rather bizarre object. Could there be more than one (up to, say, club-isomorphism)?

A full  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree is a rather bizarre object. Could there be more than one (up to, say, club-isomorphism)? In a joint work with Greenstein, we found a proof of Shelah's result that is based on the Brodsky-Rinot *microscopic approach to Souslintree constructions*.

A construction á la microscopic approach #include <NormalTree.h> #include <SealAntichain.h> #include <SealAutomorphism.h> //#include <Specialize.h> //#include <SealProductTree.h>

A full  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree is a rather bizarre object. Could there be more than one (up to, say, club-isomorphism)? In a joint work with Greenstein, we found a proof of Shelah's result that is based on the Brodsky-Rinot *microscopic approach to Souslintree constructions*. By the modular nature of this approach and by plugging in one of the already existing modules, we get:

### Theorem ([GR22])

Work in L, and suppose that  $\kappa$  is a Mahlo cardinal that is not weakly compact.

Then there exists a family of  $2^{\kappa}$  many full  $\kappa$ -Souslin trees such that the product of any finitely many of them is again  $\kappa$ -Souslin. In particular, the trees are pairwise not club-isomorphic.

Denote Vspec( $\kappa$ ) = { $V(\mathcal{T}) \mid \mathcal{T}$  is a  $\kappa$ -Souslin tree}.

Theorem ([GR22])

Work in L, and suppose that  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal that is not weakly compact.

Then  $Vspec(\kappa)$  is dense in  $(NS_{\kappa})^+$ , and every stationary  $S \subseteq \kappa$  of uniform cofinality is  $\equiv_{cub}$  to some set in  $Vspec(\kappa)$ .

# Theorem ([GR22])

Work in L, and suppose that  $\kappa$  is a Mahlo cardinal that is not weakly compact.

Then there exists a family of  $2^{\kappa}$  many full  $\kappa$ -Souslin trees such that the product of any finitely many of them is again  $\kappa$ -Souslin. In particular, the trees are pairwise not club-isomorphic.

# Open problems

- We have seen that PFA kills ♣<sub>AD</sub>(ω<sub>1</sub>). What about MA<sub>ℵ1</sub>?
   \* It is open whether MA + ¬ CH is compatible with existence of a Dowker space of size ℵ<sub>1</sub>.
- 2. Are there any (e.g., topological) applications of  $A_{AD}(S, 1, 1)$ ?
- 3. Is  $A_{AD}(Reg(\kappa))$  refuted by  $\kappa$  being a generic large cardinal?
- 4. In 1977, Shelah proved the consistency of ◊(S) + ¬◊(ω<sub>1</sub> \ S) for some stationary co-stationary S ⊆ ω<sub>1</sub>. Is the analogous statement for ♣<sub>AD</sub>(ω<sub>1</sub>) consistent?
  \* It follows from our main result that the consistency of ♣(S) + ¬♣<sub>AD</sub>(ω<sub>1</sub> \ S) for some stationary co-stationary S ⊆ ω<sub>1</sub> would provide a negative answer to Juhász' question.